COMPUTING PROFESSIONAL SKILLS ASSESSMENT (CPSA) RUBRIC

Rater's Name:

Date of Rating:_____

Section and Group Number: _____

Note: The six computing professional skills learning outcomes of the CPSA Rubric can be mapped to the ABET Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) Criterion 3, Student Outcomes. In addition, the CPSA Rubric learning outcomes can be mapped to other quality assurance stakeholder criteria. Each dimension of the CPSA Rubric comprises a definition of the outcome and the outcome's performance indicators.

Scoring Protocol:

- 1. Skim the scenario used for the discussion.
- 2. Quickly read the discussion, marking passages where a given skill is exhibited. A given passage may exhibit more than one skill simultaneously.
- 3. During a second read, highlight passages that provide strong evidence (either positive or negative) related to the skills.
- 4. Read the skill definition. Assign scores for each of the performance indicators.
- 5. In the comment boxes, provide line numbers or a short phrase, such as: lines 109-112: trade off of personal freedom vs national security; lines 428-436: ethical analysis. Be sure to refer back to the skill definition.
- 6. Update your initial scores should the data provide evidence for a score change.
- 7. Ultimately assign one score for the skill. Use whole numbers; no increments.

General Decision Rules

- 1. Assess what is written. Don't "read between the lines" (e.g., don't make assumptions about what the group should know given what is written.).
- 2. When conflicted on assigning a score, reference adjacent score description boxes to determine whether a higher or lower score within the description box is more accurate.
- 3. Weigh all performance indicators within a category equally in assigning the overall score.
- 4. Assign the higher score associated with a box only when evidence for all performance criteria is present.
- 5. Read the skill definition after scoring to check the score for accuracy.
- 6. When averaging scores for the performance indicators, apply traditional rules for rounding. For example, 2.5 would be a 3 not a 2.

Scoring Tips

- 1. Supply page numbers and/or line numbers for reference in the comment box.
- 2. Strive to complete transcript review and scoring within a 45-60 minutes.

CPSA Rubric©2020—M. Danaher, K. Schoepp, A. Ater Kranov, A. Rhodes Rubric Development Funded by Zayed University Research Incentive Fund and the Abu Dhabi Education Council

CPSA 1. Students problem solve from a computing perspective.

Rater Composite Score for Skill_____

Definition: Students define and differentiate between the problems raised in the scenario with reasonable accuracy. Students recommend potential non-technical and technical solutions from a computing perspective. Students identify relevant stakeholders and explain their perspectives.

	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging	2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 - Mastering
Problem Identification	Students do not identify the problems in the scenario.	Students begin to define the problems. Attempts to define the problems may be general, narrow, and/or inaccurate.		Students define the problems with reasonable accuracy and differentiate between them with limited justification.		Students convincingly and accurately define the problems and differentiate between them, providing realistic justification.
Recommendations for Solutions	Students do not make any recomm- endations for potential solutions.	not make any recomm-solutions that don't fit the identified problems. Students may make recommendations for potential solutions without identifying the		Students propose reasonably viable recommendations for non-technical and technical potential solutions.		Students propose detailed and viable recommendations for non-technical and technical potential solutions.
Stakeholder Perspectives	Students do not identify stakeholders.	Students begin to stakeholders and t	•	Students explain the per relevant stakeholders a reasonable accuracy.	• •	Students thoughtfully consider perspectives of diverse relevant stakeholders and articulate these with clarity and accuracy.

Comments

CPSA Rubric©2020—M. Danaher, K. Schoepp, A. Ater Kranov, A. Rhodes Council

CPSA 2. Students work together as a group.

Rater Composite Score for Skill____

Definition: Students interact in a group setting by acknowledging, building on, clarifying and/or critiquing each other's ideas.

	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging	2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 – Mastering
	Students do	Students notice oth	er students' ideas.	Students acknowledge, build on, clarify		Students encourage participation from
	not acknow-	Students may make attempts to bring		and/or critique other's ideas with some		all group members, generate ideas
Ission	ledge or	others into the disc	ussion. Students	success.		together, actively help each other, and
issi	encourage	may pose individua	l opinions without			clarify and/or critique each other's
iscı	participation	linking to what othe	ers say.			ideas.
Ō	of others.					
Com	iments					

CPSA Rubric©2020—M. Danaher, K. Schoepp, A. Ater Kranov, A. Rhodes Council

CPSA 3. Students consider ethical, legal, and security aspects.

Rater Composite Score for Skill_____

Definition: Students identify relevant ethical, legal and security aspects in their discussion of problems and potential solutions.

Ę	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging	2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 - Mastering
Ethical, Legal, Security Aspects	Students do not identify ethical, legal, and security considerat ions.	Students detect related ethical, legal and/or security aspects of the problems and possibly also the potential solutions.		Students identify relevant ethical, legal, and security aspects in context of the problems and potential solutions.		Students clearly classify relevant ethical, legal, and security aspects and evaluate each in the context of the problems and potential solutions.
Comm						

CPSA Rubric©2020—M. Danaher, K. Schoepp, A. Ater Kranov, A. Rhodes Council

CPSA 4. Students communicate professionally in writing.

Rater Composite Score for Skill_____

Definition: Students write clearly in a grammatically accurate manner, adhering to punctuation and spelling conventions. Students demonstrate the disciplinary vocabulary expected of a computing professional.

	pu	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging	2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 – Mastering
. ~	σ	Student	Students communicate using		Students communicate using accepted		Student communication adheres to
Grammar,	unctuation Spelling	writing	inaccurate and/or		conventions in grammar, punctuation and		accepted conventions of grammar,
n r		doesn't	unconventiona	l use of grammar,	spelling.		punctuation and spelling expected of a
Gra	Sp Ctu	follow	punctuation ar	nd spelling.			professional in the workplace.
•		standard					
	Δ.	rules.					
		Students do	Students use vocabulary that		Students use disciplir	e-specific vocabulary	Students consistently use context
	_	not use show they are aware of common		expected of a computing professional and		appropriate, discipline-specific and	
	/ocabulary	vocabulary	computing terms and use them		with accuracy.	accurate vocabulary expected of a	
	nde	related to	mostly accurately.				computing professional in the
	000	the					workplace.
	>	computing					
		discipline.					
Со	mmen	nts					

CPSA 5. Students analyze the consequences of existing computing solutions within local and global contexts.

Rater Composite Score for Skill_____

Definition: Students analyze intended and unintended consequences of existing computing solutions on individuals, organizations and society within local and global contexts.

	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging	2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 – Mastering
inces of Solutions	Students	Students begin to analyze		Students analyze intended and unintended		Students accurately, systematically and
es c	do not	intended and unintended		consequences of existing computing		thoughtfully analyze intended and
inces	consider	consequences of existing computing		solutions on individuals, organizations		unintended consequences of existing
		· •		and/or society within local and global		computing solutions on individuals,
Conseque	ces of	and/or society with	in local and global	contexts.		organizations and/or society within
lon	computing	contexts.				local and global contexts.
	solutions.					
Com	ments					

CPSA 6. Students interpret, represent, and seek information.

Rater Composite Score for Skill

Definition: Students interpret and represent information in the scenario and other sources. Students seek information from other sources and present new information to support their points and extend the group discussion.

	0 - Missing	1 - Emerging 2 - Developing	3 - Practicing	4 - Maturing	5 – Mastering
et , ent tion	Students	Students refer to information	Students, to a large extent, accurately		Students consistently and accurately
Interpret , Represent Information	don't refer to information	presented, but may misinterpret or misrepresent it.	interpret and represent information presented.		interpret and represent information presented.
Inte Rep Ifor	presented in		presenteu.		presented.
	the scenario.				
er P	Students	Students reference the source/s	Students reference the source/s of		Students consistently and accurately
References Sources of nformation	don't	of information, but it may be		nner that others can	use established conventions for
fere urc	reference	incomplete.	easily retrieve the se	ource.	citation and referencing.
Ref So Info	sources.				
la c	Students do	Students begin to seek additional		ditional information	Students consistently provide high
ek Additional Information	not seek	information relevant to the	from credible source	••	quality additional information to
na	information	discussion. Source credibility may	extend the discussion	n.	support the discussion and extend
for	from	vary.			their knowledge.
Seek	additional				
Ň	sources.				

Comments

CPSA Rubric©2020—M. Danaher, K. Schoepp, A. Ater Kranov, A. Rhodes Council